

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,574	03/11/2004	Yurika Koizumi	67336-017	4825
	7590 10/23/2007 C, WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street,	, N.W.	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/797,574	KOIZUMI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kishor Mayekar	1753			
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS I - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within I Any reply received by	CTATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period we he set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 6(a). In no event, however, may a repl ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2004.					
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in ac	cordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claim	s					
4a) Of the al 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>5-</u> 9	is/are pending in the application. pove claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers			•			
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examiner (s) filed on is/are: a) access y not request that any objection to the order drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by Irawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1795

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 09-273989A (provided with a copy of an English translation). The Japanese reference's invention is directed to an ozone weather meter comprising an ozone generator with ion exchange membrane, cathode plate and anode plate. The Japanese reference discloses in Fig. 2 and paragraphs 8 and 9 that the ozone generator comprises all the structures as claimed.

- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Andrews et al. (US 5,989,407). Andrews' invention is directed to an ozone generating and delivery system. Andrews discloses that the system comprises all the structures as claimed (Figs. 1 and 3-5; and col. 10, lines 53-56).
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '655 in view of Andrews '407. The difference between the Japanese reference and the instant claims is the detailing of the type of the membrane. Andrews as applied above shows the use of a cation exchange membrane (col. 7, lines 48-57). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Japanese reference's teachings a shown by Andrews because this would result in maintaining separation of ozone and oxygen gases at the anode from hydrogen or other gases generated at the cathode.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/797,574

Art Unit: 1753

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753